

Rebalancing: DAA in Disguise

by Michael Furey

michael.furey@deltaresearch.com.au

December 2019



Agenda

- ▶ Quick background to rebalancing
- ▶ Interactive Case Study
 - ▶ ~50 years of data
 - ▶ Monthly Return Data
 - ▶ Dec 1969 to Sep 2019
 - ▶ 50% Equities / 50% Cash
 - ▶ Different rebalancing methodologies

Rebalancing Policy

- ▶ A rebalancing policy should exist but rarely does
- ▶ Rebalancing is often taken for granted
 - ▶ Do we know what works, what doesn't, why one method may be better or worse?
 - ▶ Often promoted as value-add but ... what are the costs or benefits?

DAA in Disguise

- ▶ What do we mean by DAA?
- ▶ If you rebalance, YOU ARE AN ACTIVE INVESTOR
- ▶ WE ARE ALL MARKET TIMERS
- ▶ In fact, if we rebalance, we are CONTRARIAN investors

Why Rebalance?

- ▶ Risk (Profile) Management
 - ▶ Maintain Risk Profile/Risk Exposure
 - ▶ Maintain Strategic Asset Allocation through time
 - ▶ Maintain investment discipline
- ▶ Improve Risk-adjusted Returns
 - ▶ Buy Low/Sell High

Risks of Rebalancing

- ▶ Asset allocation drift
- ▶ Market timing risks
- ▶ Costs - transaction costs, taxes,
- ▶ Mistakes compound

How do we Rebalance?

- ▶ Calendar based
 - ▶ E.g. Quarterly, Annual
- ▶ Tolerance Bands
 - ▶ E.g. +/-5%, +/-10%
- ▶ Other systematic
 - ▶ Triggered based on valuation, momentum, volatility, or some other regime indicator
- ▶ Ad-hoc
 - ▶ Discretionary, tactical
- ▶ Implementation using options/futures et al.

Some current beliefs

- ▶ Opportunistic Rebalancing - Daryanani - Journal of Financial Planning (2007)
 - ▶ Optimal rebalancing was at a relative threshold of 20% of original weight
 - ▶ Look period was 1 to 10 days (so look constantly)
- ▶ Best practices for portfolio rebalancing - Vanguard (2015)
 - ▶ Concluded there are no optimal frequency or thresholds for rebalancing
 - ▶ Annual or semi-annual with 5% thresholds is likely to produce a reasonable balance between risk control and cost minimisation
 - ▶ Annual is preferred for high taxes or substantial time/cost involvement
- ▶ Portfolio Rebalancing - Ilmanen /Maloney (AQR)
 - ▶ Asset prices have exhibited trending behaviour so favour less-frequent rebalancing or wider tolerance bands

Case Study - Australian data

- ▶ Monthly returns - 31/12/1969 to 30/9/2019
- ▶ Strategic weights
 - ▶ 50% MSCI Australia (or MSCI World)
 - ▶ 50% RBA 90-day Bank Bills
- ▶ Risk-adjusted returns of different methods
 - ▶ <https://tinyurl.com/vejxr4n>
- ▶ Time Series starting 31/12/1969 & 30/11/1974
 - ▶ <https://tinyurl.com/ruz62gj>
 - ▶ <https://tinyurl.com/tsd6lrq>

Rebalancing Analysis

▶ Note on Rebalance Methods

- ▶ 1%, 2%, ..., 10% ... rebalanced if outside +/-1%,..., +/-10%
 - ▶ So 7.5% rebalance means rebalance is triggered if equities or cash allocation is > 57.5% or < 42.5%
- ▶ 1 month, 3 months, ... , 24 months
 - ▶ Rebalanced automatically every 1 month, ..., 24 months
- ▶ 3 Year Val & 5 Year Val
 - ▶ Rebalanced if current PE ratio is above 3-year (or 5-year) average PE ratio and equities allocation > 50%
 - ▶ Rebalanced if current PE ratio is below 3-year (or 5-year) average PE ratio and equities allocation < 50%
- ▶ 10% Tolerance and Momentum
 - ▶ Rebalanced to 60% if equities > 60% and current equities price < equities price 12 months prior (or negative momentum)
 - ▶ Rebalanced to 40% if equities < 40% and current equities price > equities price 12 months prior (or positive momentum)

My Conclusions

- ▶ Have a rebalancing policy
- ▶ Rebalance at least annually
- ▶ Maximum threshold +/-20% per original weight
- ▶ Constantly look at portfolios
 - ▶ Be aware of when portfolios are out of “balance” and act accordingly
 - ▶ Have a rebalancing policy that deals with large market movements

Thank you

Michael Furey

michael.furey@deltaresearch.com.au

0432 002 472



DELTA
Research and Advisory